

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/812,213		03/29/2004	W. Travis Horton	TEC1288	TEC1288 3042	
832	7590	08/03/2005		EXAMINER		
BAKER &	DANIEL	LS LLP		NORMAN, MARC E		
111 E. WAY	NE STRI	EET				
SUITE 800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT WAY	NE, IN	46802		3744		

DATE MAILED: 08/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			•
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/812,213	HORTON, W. TRAVIS	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marc E. Norman	3744	
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA*  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ration.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of third y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>29 <i>March 2004</i></u> .		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u			
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the applied 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.	÷	
Application Papers	•		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			
Applicant may not request that any objection			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<b>)</b> .
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for to a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (FTO- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/29/04.		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/812,213

Art Unit: 3744

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4-12, 18, 19, and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Merola et al.

As per claims 1, 2, 8, and 18, Merola et al. discloses a multi-stage compressor assembly/method comprising first and second compression mechanisms (10 and 14), wherein the first stage compressor is started a predetermined time period before the second stage compressor is started (see Abstract, lines 9-11; column 1, lines 62-66; etc.), and the compression mechanisms be in separate housings (Figure 1).

As per claims 4, 9, and 21, Merola et al. discloses a time relay controlling the delay (see for example column 1, lines 62-66).

As per claims 5, 10, and 22, Merola et al. discloses the time delay allowing the first motor to reach as stable operating state (i.e., to bring the first compressor up to speed – see for example column 5, lines 20-24).

As per claims 6, 11, and 23, Merola et al. discloses the time lapse being about 5 seconds (column 5, line 40).

Art Unit: 3744

As per claims 7, 12, and 19, the compressors 10 and 14 are common single speed compressors.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 3, 13-17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Merola et al. in view of Parker

As per claims 3, 13-17, and 20, Merola et al. teaches all features of these claims (as discussed regarding similar claims above) except for compressors 10 and 14 being in a single housing. However, it is old and well-known in the art to provide dual compressors within a single housing. Parker for example teaches a dual compressor arrangement within a single housing (Figures 1-7). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the single housing arrangement of Parker to the system of

Art Unit: 3744

Merola et al. for the general purposes of space efficiency and reduced cost (see for example Parker, column 1, lines 42-47).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc E. Norman whose telephone number is 571-272-4812. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on 571-272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MN

MARC NORMAN RIMARY EXAMINER